Pan-Cancer Survival Classification with Clinicopathologic and Targeted Gene Expression Features

Patrick Yu, Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 16th Annual AACR Undergraduate Student Caucus and Poster Competition

Background

• Many factors influence a cancer patient's survival rate and outcome - what are they and how do they affect the patient's survival?



- Types of risk factors to consider:
 - Clinical/demographic/behavioral (e.g. age, sex, smoking habits, cancer stage, etc)
 - **Pathological** (e.g. tumor morphology)
 - **Biomolecular** (gene expression, mutations, CNVs, etc)

- The goal is to create a machine learning model that will:
 - 1) Predict Overall Survival (OS) from the considered risk factors, over various cancer types and time points (e.g. at 1 year, 3 year)
 - 2) Identify the most significant risk factors affecting survival outcome

Previous Work

- General *trends* between **molecular** data and survival outcomes have been found, but fail to yield survival outcome prediction at the *individual patient* level
 - Adding on **clinicopathological data** may help to predict patient survival

- Previous studies (3,4) have focused on predicting Overall Survival for individual cancer types
 - Instead, we aim to predict OS at *varying time* points across *various cancer types*



Collisson et al, Nat Rev Gastro & Hep 2019

Methods - Overall Survival Prediction

- Our dataset consists of 8,068 patients across 16 cancer types from TCGA
 - Each patient is tied to a set of clinicopathological features

• First, we predicted survival outcomes (at 1-year and 3-year timepoints) with only 15 clinicopathologic features via **Sequential Forward Search (SFS)**

• Then, we sequentially added on expression data from 25 selected genes to optimize model accuracy

| | Feature 1 | Feature 2 | Feature 3 | Feature 4 | Feature 5 |
|------|--|---|---|--|---|
| BLCA | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tum or Stage Code_T2 | International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histolog y Code 8130/3 | Prior Cancer Diagnosis Occurrenc e_Yes | Angiolymphatic Invasion_YES | American Joint Committee on Cancer Metastasis St age Code_MX |
| KIRC | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint C ommittee on Cancer Code_Stage IV | American Joint Committee on Cancer Meta stasis Stage Code_MX | American Joint Committee on Can cer Tumor Stage Code_T4 | American Joint Committee on Cancer M etastasis Stage Code_M1 | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tumor Stage Code_T1b |
| UCEC | Ethnicity Category_NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO | Surgical Margin Resection Status_R1 | Race Category_BLACK OR AFRI CAN AMERICAN | Lymph nodes aortic examined count | Menopause Status_Pre (_6 months since LMP AN D no prior bilateral ovariectomy AND not on estro gen replacement) |
| PAAD | International Classification of Diseases of Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histolog y Code 8246/3 | International Classification of Diseases of Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histolog y Code 8480/3 | Radiation Therapy_Yes | Race Category_Black or African Americ an | New Neoplasm Event Post Initial Therapy Indicato $\mathbf{r}_{-} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{s}$ |
| BRCA | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Node Stage Am erican Joint Committee on Cancer Code_N 1 | Staging System_No axillary staging | American Joint Committee on Can cer Tumor Stage Code_T1b | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committee on Cancer Code_Stage IIIB | Positive Finding Lymph Node Hematoxylin and Eo sin Staining Microscopy Count |
| LUSC | Surgical Margin Resection Status_R1 | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tum or Stage Code_T1b | Neoplasm Disease Stage America n Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e_Stage IA | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Node Stage A merican Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e_N2 | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committe e on Cancer Code_Stage IIB |
| LIHC | Liver fibrosis ishak score category_1,2 - P ortal Fibrosis | Laboratory procedure albumin result lower limit of normal value | Ablation embolization tx adjuvant YES | Laboratory procedure albumin result upp er limit of normal value | Race Category_BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERIC AN |
| THCA | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Node Stage Am erican Joint Committee on Cancer Code N X | Lymph Node(s) Examined Number | American Joint Committee on Can cer Metastasis Stage Code_MX | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committee on Cancer Code_Stage II | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tumor Stage Code_T2 |
| COAD | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint C ommittee on Cancer Code_Stage IV | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tum or Stage Code_T4a | Neoplasm Disease Stage America n Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e Stage II | Lymphovascular invasion indicator_YE S | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committe e on Cancer Code_Stage IVA |
| SKCM | Primary multiple at dx_YES | Sex_Male | Breslow_depth | Adjuvant Postoperative Pharmaceutical Therapy Administered Indicator_YES | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tumor Stage Code_T1a |
| GBM | Neoadjuvant Therapy Type Administered Prior To Resection Text Yes | First Pathologic Diagnosis Biospecimen Ac auisition Method Type Tumor resection | Karnofsky Performance Score | Race Category_WHITE | Diagnosis Age |
| HSNC | Neoplasm Histologic Grade_GX | Race Category_BLACK OR AFRICAN A MERICAN | Neoplasm Disease Stage America n Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e Stage II | Extracapsular Spread Pathologic_No Ext ranodal Extension | Patient Smoking History Category_4 |
| STAD | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint C ommittee on Cancer Code_Stage IV | Cancer Type Detailed_Mucinous Stomach Adenocarcinoma | Neoplasm Disease Stage America n Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e_Stage IA | Neoplasm Histologic Type Name_Stoma ch, Adenocarcinoma, Not Otherwise Spe cified (NOS) | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committe e on Cancer Code_Stage IB |
| LUAD | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Node Stage Am erican Joint Committee on Cancer Code_N 1 | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tum or Stage Code_T1B | Neoplasm Disease Stage America n Joint Committee on Cancer Cod e Stage IV | Sex_Male | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committe e on Cancer Code_Stage IIIA |
| PRAD | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint C ommittee on Cancer Clinical Primary Tum or T Stage T2b | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint C ommittee on Cancer Clinical Primary Tum or T Stage T2a | Radical Prostatectomy Gleason Sc ore for Prostate Cancer | Gleason Score Primary | International Classification of Diseases for Oncolo gy, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histology Code_8550/3 |
| ov | Primary Tumor Site_Right | Neoplasm Histologic Grade_G2 | Race Category_ASIAN | Neoplasm American Joint Committee on Cancer Clinical Group Stage Stage IV | Neoplasm American Joint Committee on Cancer Cl inical Group Stage Stage IIIC |

Top ranked features for 1 year model. Abbreviations: BLCA – bladder urotheilal carcinoma, KIRC – kidney clear cell carcinoma, UCEC – uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, PAAD – pancreatic adenocarcinoma, BRCA – breast invasive carcinoma, LUSC – luing squamous cell carcinoma, LIIC – luire thepatocellular carcinoma, TCAA– thryviol carcinoma, COAD – colon adenocarcinoma, PRAD – prostate adenocarcinoma, OV – o variani servou cystadenocarcinoma.

Methods - Gene Selection

• A differential analysis can reveal the most *significant* genes with the largest expression differences between surviving and deceased cohorts

 To determine which genes to select, we performed a Differential Expression analysis (DESeq2) comparing between patients who survive <<u>1 year</u> vs. ><u>1 year</u> after diagnosis, as well as <<u>3 year</u> vs. ><u>3 year</u> post-diagnosis







Implementation

- Preprocessing:
 - Imputed missing data from patient with XGBoost's imputation, median, and K-Nearest Neighbors
 - Omitted data missing from >40% of patients & removed features hinting at survival outcomes (e.g. "Disease Free Status", "Overall Survival Status")

• Model Training:

- Tested 40 chosen features (15 clinicopathological vs. 15 clinicopathological + 25 genes) on either XGBoost or Random Forest in predicting Overall Survival with a 80%/20% test-train cross-validation split
- Implemented a grid search on 2 models (XGBoost, Random Forest) and 3 imputation techniques (XGBoost-imputation, median, and KNN) to find the optimal model

Results - Overall Survival Prediction

• While including the 15 clinical features alone yielded a relatively low AUC measure (~ 0.6-0.7 range) for lower-performing cancers (GBM, OV, etc), some cancers (e.g. PAAD) performed well even *without* the 25 genes

- AUC increased noticeably after including the 25 genes (up to the 0.75-0.78 range)
 - AUC's for Glioblastoma (GBM), Stomach Adenocarcinoma (STAD), Ovarian Carcinoma (OV) increased from 0.71, 0.62, 0.66 to 0.76, 0.77, and 0.77, respectively
 - These equate to a ~7 to 23% increase in AUC across the 3 lowest-performing cancers

| SKCM | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.81 | PAAD | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.89 |
|------|------|------|----------|------|------|---------|-------|---------|----------|------|------|
| LIHC | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.74 | STAD | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.85 |
| PRAD | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.79 | SKCM | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| PAAD | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.77 | LIHC | | | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.83 |
| JCEC | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | | LUAD | 0.79 | 0.80 | | 0.79 | |
| KIRC | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | THCA | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 |
| BLCA | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.70 | HNSC | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| INSC | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.74 | PRAD | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| LUAD | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.70 | BLCA | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| THCA | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.67 | UCEC | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.69 |
| BRCA | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.69 | LUSC | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 |
| COAD | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.67 | BRCA | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| STAD | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.67 | GBM | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.71 |
| GBM | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.71 | OV | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| LUSC | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.67 | COAD | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.69 |
| ov | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.66 | KIRC | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.68 |
| | A | в | С | D | E | | A | В | С | D | E |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 60 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.0 | 0 | | · 6 | 70 0 | 75 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.0 |
| | 0.68 | 0.72 | BOC ALIC | 0.8 | J 0. | .04 0.6 | 00 00 | .70 0.1 | BOC AUC | 0.85 | 0.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1.00 400 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

A = XGBoost + XGBoost imputation; **B** = XGBoost + median imputation; **C** = Random Forest + median imputation; **D** = XGBoost + K-nearest neighbors; **E** = Random Forest + K-nearest neighbors



Results - Top Clinical Factors Influencing Survival

- Our analyses also showed the **top 5 features** that were strongly related to a lower survival in the 1 and 3 year timeframes
 - Many were disease-specific features - e.g. for PRAD (Prostate Cancer) our model utilized the Gleason prostate biopsy score and PSA (Prostate-Specific Antigen) to predict survival outcomes

| | Feature 1 | Feature 2 | | Feature 3 | Feature 4 | Feature 5 | |
|--------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| BLCA | Patient Primary Tumor Site_Wall Posteri or | Race Category_WHITE | | Patient_Weight | Positive Finding Lymph Node matoxylin and Eosin Staining oscopy Count | e He Neoplasm Disease Lymph No Micr age American Joint Committe Cancer Code_N1 | ode St ee on |
| KIRC | American Joint Committee on Cancer Me tastasis Stage Code_M1 | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Ne merican Joint Committee on _N1 | ode Stage A Cancer Code | Specimen Second Longest Dimension | Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Joint Committee on Cancer Co Stage IV | erican Sex_Male oode_ | |
| UCEC | Ethnicity Category_NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO | Neoplasm American Joint Co Cancer Clinical Group Stage | Stage IIB | Race Category_ASIAN | Lymph Nodes Aortic Pos Tota | al Neoplasm American Joint Con tee on Cancer Clinical Group e Stage II | ommit Stag |
| PAAD | International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histology Code 8426/3 | American Joint Committee or astasis Stage Code_T2 | n Cancer Met | International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histology Code 8140/3 | Neoplasm Disease Lymph No Stage American Joint Commit on Cancer Code N1 | ode Neoplasm Event Post Initial T ttee py Indicator_YES | Thera |
| BRCA | Staging System_No Axillary Staging | Menopause Status_Post (prio ariectomy OR >12 mo since I prior hysterectomy) | r bilateral ov LMP with no | American Joint Committee on Cancer Me tastasis Stage Code_MX | Race Category_WHITE | Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Joint Committee on Cancer Co Stage IA | erican Code_ |
| LUSC | Surgical Margin Resection Status_R1 | American Joint Committee or mor Stage Code T2b | n Cancer Tu | Patient Primary Tumor Site_R-Middle | Ethnicity Category_NOT HIS IC OR LATINO | SPAN American Joint Committee on cer Metastasis Stage Code M | n Can IX |
| LIHC | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tu mor Stage Code T3A | Laboratory procedure albumi | n result uppe | New Neoplasm Event Post Initial Therap v Indicator YES | Specimen collection method n | name Sex_Male | |
| THCA | Race Category_WHITE | Race Category_BLACK OR MERICAN | AFRICAN A | Neoplasm American Joint Committee on Cancer Code N1 | American Joint Committee on cer Tumor Stage Code T1a | a Can American Joint Committee on cer Tumor Stage Code T2 | n Can |
| COAD | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committee on Cancer Code, Stage II | American Joint Committee or mor Stage Code, T4a | n Cancer Tu | Neoplasm Disease Stage American Joint Committee on Cancer Code, Stage IIIA | Lymphovascular Invasion Ind r YES | licato American Joint Committee on cer Tumor Stage Code T3 | n Can |
| SKCM | American Joint Committee on Cancer Tu mor Stage Code_T4b | Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Committee on Cancer Code | erican Joint Stage IV | Neoplasm Disease Lymph Node Stage American Joint Committee on Cancer Code N3 | American Joint Committee on cer Tumor Stage Code_T3b | n Can Breslow Depth | |
| GBM | Karnofsky Performance Score | Neoadjuvant Therapy Type A Prior To Resection Text Yes | dministered | Race Category_BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN | | | |
| HSNC | International Classification of Diseases f or Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Hist ology Code 8072/3 | International Classification of for Oncology, Third Edition I Histology Code 8071/3 | f Diseases ICD-O-3 | Primary Lymph Node Presentation Asses sement Ind-3_YES | Race Category_WHITE | Neoplasm Disease Lymph No Stage American Joint Commit on Cancer Code N1 | ode ittee |
| STAD | Surgical Margin Resection_R2 | Ethnicity Category_NOT HIS LATINO | SPANIC OR | Surgical Margin Resection Status_R2 | Patient Primary Tumor Site_S ch (NOS) | Stoma Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Joint Committee on Cancer Co Stage IA | erican Code_ |
| LUAD | American Joint Committee on Cancer Me tastasis Stage Code_T2 | Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Committee on Cancer Code_ | erican Joint Stage IB | Prior Diagnosis_Yes | American Joint Committee on cer Tumor Stage Code_T1A | n Can Neoplasm Disease Stage Ame Joint Committee on Cancer Co N1 | erican Code_ |
| PRAD | CT Scan ab pelvis indicator_YES | PSA most recent results | | Neoplasm American Joint Committee on Cancer Clinical Primary T Stage T2C | Gleason Pattern Primary | Sample Type_Primary | |
| OV Top ranke | Neoplasm Histologic Grade_G2 | Neoplasm Histologic Grade_ | G3 | Diagnosis Age | Shortest Dimension | Neoplasm Histologic Grade_O | GX |
| PAAD = 1 COAD = | pancreatic adenocarcinoma, BRCA = breast invi colon adenocarcinoma, SKCM = skin cutaneous | asive carcinoma, LUSC = lung squ s melanonia, GBM = glioblastoma | amous cell car multiforme, H | rcinoma, LIHC = liver hepatocellular carcinoma ISNC = head and neck squamous cell carcinoma | , THCA = thyroid carcinoma, , STAD = stomach | Gleason's Patter | rn |
| adenocarc | inoma, LUAD = lung adenocarcinoma, PRAD | = prostativadenocarcinoma, OV = | ovarian serous | cystadenocarcinoma. | | 1. Sm glanc | nall, uniforr ids |
| | APSA test messures PSA molecules in a p | the amount of atient's blood PSA LEVEL | | 5000 | | 2.Ma betw | ore stroma ween glands |
| | Prostate Gland roleases PSA molecules | OW normal for all men to have 3 A h | HIGH | | | 3. Dis infiltr | istinctly trative marg |
| | It can also be raised f | or lots of other reasons including | i oi prostate cancer, | uu | | 4.Irr | eoplastic gla |

Results - Differential Expression Gene Analyses

Certain genes in our DE analysis represented known markers to promote or impede cancer PTSG2

0

- (prostaglandin-endoperox ide synthase 2) is significantly enriched in the <12 month survival cohort in Glioblastoma **Multiforme**
- **PTSG2** is *also* reported to Ο aggressively facilitate resistance of glioblastoma to chemotherapy treatment methods



Discussion & Future Work

- Clinical and pathological data alone can accurately predict 1 and 3 year overall survival in many cancers, but the addition of gene expression features significantly improves survival prediction performance in weaker cancers
 - For example, STAD, GBM and OV saw up to +0.15 increase in AUC

- Poorly performing cancers (e.g. OV) often suffered from a lack of **disease-specific features/markers** that better-performing cancers had (e.g. Liver fibrosis for LIHC/Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma), and benefited greatly from additional pathological or gene expression data
 - The AUC for OV increased by 23% increase after adding the 25 additional genes on top of the initial 15 clinicopathological features



| ľ | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.81 | PAAD | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.8 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.74 | STAD | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.8 |
| | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.79 | SKCM | 0.83 | | 0.83 | 0.87 | |
| | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.74 | | LIHC | | | | 0.76 | |
| | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | | LUAD | | | | 0.79 | |
| С | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.74 | THCA | 0.77 | 0.77 | | | |
| A | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.68 | | 0.70 | HNSC | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0 |
| SC | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.74 | PRAD | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0 |
| IAD | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.70 | BLCA | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0 |
| ICA | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.67 | UCEC | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0. |
| RCA | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.69 | LUSC | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0. |
| DAD | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.67 | BRCA | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0. |
| TAD | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.67 | GBM | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0 |
| GBM | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.71 | OV | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0. |
| JSC | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.67 | COAD | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0. |
| OV | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.66 | KIRC | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0. |
| | ٨ | D | 0 | | | | | | | | |

Discussion & Future Work

• Many factors other than clinical/gene expression data (DNA methylation, copy number, spatial information of biomarkers) can influence survival outcomes

• Develop *specialized* models for each cancer subtype that adaptively select features relevant to each specific cancer type

• Extract features directly from TCGA tumor imagery



Thank You! Questions?

My e-mail: pzy2@illinois.edu

Special thanks to my mentor, Jimmy A. Guo from the Broad Institute, for guiding and supporting me throughout the project.